

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

COSTAR REALTY INFORMATION,
INC., and COSTAR GROUP, INC.,
Plaintiffs-Counterclaim Defendants,

vs.

ADS CONSTRUCTION and
POLIMENI INTERNATIONAL, LLC

Defendants-Counterclaimants.

Civil No.: DKC-2008-2792

PLAINTIFFS-COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANTS' ANSWER

Plaintiffs-Counterclaim Defendants CoStar Realty Information, Inc. and CoStar Group, Inc., (collectively, "CoStar") answer the allegations of Defendants-Counterclaimants ADS Construction and Polimeni International, LLC's (collectively, "Counterclaimants") counterclaims as follows:

1. CoStar admits that it initiated the above captioned action on or about October 21, 2008, asserting claims for breach of contract, copyright infringement, and fraud against Counterclaimants based on their unlawful use of CoStar's products and services. CoStar's Complaint speaks for itself. CoStar denies any remaining allegations of Paragraph 1 that are inconsistent with CoStar's Complaint.

2. CoStar admits that it has alleged that Counterclaimaint Polimeni gained unlawful access to a CoStar user name and password assigned to ADS Construction and that ADS Construction breached its license agreement by providing its user name and password to Polimeni. CoStar's Complaint speaks for itself. CoStar denies any remaining allegations of Paragraph 2 that are inconsistent with CoStar's Complaint.

3. Denied.
4. CoStar lacks the information necessary to respond to the allegations set forth in Paragraph 4 of the Counterclaim and therefore denies the same.
5. Denied.
6. CoStar incorporates by reference its responses to Paragraphs 1 through 5 of the Counterclaim.
7. CoStar lacks the information necessary to respond to the allegations set forth in Paragraph 7 of the Counterclaim and therefore denies the same.
8. Denied.
9. CoStar lacks the information necessary to respond to the allegations set forth in Paragraph 9 of the Counterclaim and therefore denies the same.
10. Denied.
11. Denied.
12. CoStar incorporates by reference its responses to Paragraphs 1 through 11 of the Counterclaim.
13. CoStar lacks the information necessary to respond to the allegations set forth in Paragraph 13 of the Counterclaim and therefore denies the same.
14. Denied.
15. Denied.
16. Denied.
17. Denied.
18. CoStar incorporates by reference its responses to Paragraphs 1 through 5 of the Counterclaim.

19. CoStar admits that ADS Construction entered into a binding agreement with CoStar that granted ADS a license to use CoStar's Property Express product for the Long Island, Northern New Jersey, and Westchester/Southern New York markets, and that one user was authorized under the agreement. CoStar further admits that ADS Construction was provided a key token that allowed usage from more than one computer, consistent with the overall terms and conditions of CoStar's license agreement. CoStar denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 19 of the Counterclaim.

20. Denied.

21. Denied.

22. Denied.

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The Counterclaim fails to assert a claim upon which relief may be granted.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Counterclaimants are barred from any relief by their unclean hands.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

One or more of Counterclaimants' claims are barred by the doctrine of waiver.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

One or more of Counterclaimants' claims are barred by the integration clause of ADS Construction's license agreement with CoStar.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Counterclaimants are estopped from making one or more of their claims.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs-Counterclaim Defendants request that the Court:

- (1) Dismiss the Counterclaim with prejudice;
- (2) Award CoStar the reasonable attorney's fees and costs associated with defending against the Counterclaim; and
- (3) Such other relief as deemed appropriate by the Court.

Dated: April 5, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

_____/s/_____
Shari Ross Lahlou, Bar. No. 16570
William J. Sauers, Bar No. 17355
Crowell & Moring LLP
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004
Telephone (202) 624-2500
Facsimile (202) 628-5116

Attorneys for Plaintiffs CoSTAR
REALTY INFORMATION, INC., a
Delaware Corporation, and CoSTAR
GROUP, INC., a Delaware
Corporation

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that service required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 5 was made, and that a true copy of the foregoing document was served upon the attorneys of record by electronically filing the document with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system, which caused a Notice of Electronic Filing to be sent to the following on April 5, 2009:

Steven Edward Tiller
Whiteford Taylor and Preston LLP
Seven St. Paul St. Ste. 1500
Baltimore, MD 21202
Telephone: (410) 347-8700
Facsimile: (410) 223-4325
Email: stiller@wtplaw.com

*Counsel for Defendants ADS
Construction, Inc. and Polimeni
International, LLC*

s/William J. Sauers
William J. Sauers (Bar No. 17355)
Crowell & Moring LLP
1001 Pennsylvania Ave.
Washington, DC 20004
Telephone: (202) 624-2500
Facsimile: (202) 628-8844
wsauers@crowell.com

*Counsel for Plaintiffs CoStar Realty
Information, Inc. and CoStar Group, Inc..*